IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS

Criminal Action No. 18-292

ROBERT BOWERS,

Defendant.

Transcript of status conference proceedings held on Tuesday, December 11 2018, United States District Court, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, before the Honorable Donetta Ambrose, U.S. District Court Senior Judge.

APPEARANCES:

For the Government:

Soo C. Song, Esq.
Troy Rivetti, Esq.
Eric G. Olshan, Esq.
United States Attorney's Office
700 Grant Street, Suite 4000
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
soo.song@usdoj.gov
Troy.Rivetti@usdoj.gov
eric.olshan@usdoj.gov

Julia Gegenheimer, Esq. 601 D St. NW Washington, DC 20004 julia.gegenheimer@usdoj.gov

For the Defendant:

Elisa A. Long Michael J. Novara

Federal Public Defender's Office

1001 Liberty Avenue 1500 Liberty Center

Pittsburgh, PA 15222-3716

Elisa Long@fd.org Michael Novara@fd.org

PROCEEDINGS

(In open court.)

22.

THE COURT: Good morning, please be seated.

Good morning, everyone. We are here for a status conference in the case of the <u>United States of America against</u>
Robert Bowers at Criminal No. 18-292.

Before we begin, I just want to remind everyone that according to the standing order of this Court, everyone is required to turn off all electronic devices; and that standing order is at Miscellaneous No. 3-451. And just to make it clear, failure to abide by the standing order could result in an offender's exclusion from not only this proceeding, but all future proceedings. So I want to make that clear; and that this standing order applies to today's conference as well as all future proceedings in this case.

Mr. Novara and Miss Long, I just want to make sure — this is not a substantive hearing, of course, but I wanted to have you assure me that Mr. Bowers has waived his right to appear.

MS. LONG: He has, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Miss Long.

All right. So I think probably the first order of business is the fact that pretrial motions were extended by Judge Mitchell through next Thursday, and I'm assuming you need more time. So do you want to talk about that initially?

1 MS. LONG: Sure, Your Honor. We have received two 2 sets of discovery from the Government, one on November 7th and 3 then one on December 7th. 4 THE COURT: Okav. 5 MS. LONG: We've also met with the Government on two 6 occasions regarding the discovery process, and the capital case 7 review process, and other matters. And our plan is to ask for 8 a 120-day continuance of the pretrial motions which are 9 currently due next week. And I believe that request would be 10 unopposed by the Government at this point. 11 MR. RIVETTI: Your Honor, we did meet and discuss that 12 and the Government does not oppose that request for an 13 extension of time. 14 THE COURT: So that would take us to April 18th of 2019, is that right? 15 16 MR. NOVARA: (Nods head.) 17 THE COURT: Okay. So motions will be extended --18 you're going to file a motion, actually file one, or do you 19 just want to do it here in court and have this be the record of 20 I think we probably -- we need an order with the Speedy 21 Trial waiver, so --22. MS. LONG: We'll file one, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay, as long as it's filed before 24 December 18th. All right. You know, I know that you said the 25

22.

Government has provided you with two packets of discovery, and I don't know if there are any issues. But, of course, what we want to do more than anything in this case is to make sure that the information that you're getting is accessible, cost efficient.

If there are any issues about that, I would expect that you would let me know. And maybe both sides, the Government and the defense, can provide me with some ideas as to how to make the discovery more accessible and to contain discovery costs in any way. Whether it's something about the way the information is turned over, the way it's formatted, any issues like that I would like you to bring to my attention as soon as possible.

MS. LONG: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So we're extending the motions through April, but I'm inclined to think that we should have regular status conferences. I don't know how either the Government or the defense feel about that.

MS. LONG: That would be fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Monthly, weekly -- you tell me what you think.

MS. SONG: Monthly would be fine, Your Honor.

MS. LONG: Monthly is fine.

THE COURT: Miss Long, monthly? Okay, maybe I'll put out a schedule and we will have monthly conferences that will,

if nothing more, just make sure that things are moving along.

22.

It was — yesterday, as you all know, the Government filed a notice that enforces the policies and procedures to guide the Department of Justice and the United States

Department here in the capital case review process. So according to the submission that I received yesterday, that sometime after this conference today, the United States

Attorney will be able to provide me and everyone with a more detailed schedule about the process.

Is there anything more on that, Miss Song? Do you want to address that?

MS. SONG: No, Your Honor. It's just that it's our hope that we can negotiate as much as possible with defense counsel; and we've met and talked about the time frame for their submission to the United States Attorney. And so we hope that following today we'll be able to come back to the Court with a more specific proposal for that timing.

THE COURT: Okay, that's great. Is there anything else today that you need to have me resolve or talk about?

I'll start with you, Miss Long, if there's any other issue that you want to bring up today?

MS. LONG: Your Honor, the only issue that I would raise is that maybe the first status conference could be two months instead of a month from today, because I'm not anticipating there would be a lot to report —

THE COURT: No, I'm not either by January 11th. 1 2 we'll have one in February and then March and I'll just have a 3 schedule through April; right before the motions are due, we'll 4 have another one. We may have the February conference by 5 phone, and that's fine. I do want you, though, to -- these 6 again are not substantive hearings, but I do want you, of 7 course, to get Mr. Bowers's consent to waive his presence at 8 these hearings. 9 MS. LONG: We will do that. 10 THE COURT: If he -- if that's what his desire is. 11 not, even if there is a conference by phone, he can 12 participate; we can manage to do that. 13 Anything else from the defense? 14 MS. LONG: No, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Miss Song? 16 MS. SONG: Your Honor, I just wanted to introduce 17 Julia Gegenheimer who is an attorney here at counsel table from 18 the Justice Department who will serve as co-counsel with me and 19 Mr. Rivetti. 20 THE COURT: So there will be you, and Ms. Gegenheimer 21 and Mr. Rivetti for the Government. 22. That's correct, Your Honor. MS. SONG: 23 Thank you; it's nice to meet you. THE COURT: 24 MS. GEGENHEIMER: Nice to meet you.

THE COURT: Anything else at all that we can do today?

25

ALL COUNSEL: No. 1 2 THE COURT: And, again, I just want all of you to let 3 me know as soon as any issue arises because I would like to 4 deal with these things as promptly as possible. I know this is 5 a serious matter, but I am here for the Government and for the 6 Defendant to resolve any issues as they come up, particularly 7 during the discovery process. I do want to emphasize that I 8 want it to be as efficient for the Defendants and cost 9 efficient as well. But I want to make sure that what you're 10 getting is accessible. 11 Is that it then? Thank you both very much. 12 I'll send out a schedule with the conferences before the week 13 is over; and as I said, the last one will be a few days prior 14 to the motion date. Okay? 15 ALL COUNSEL: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay, thank you all very much. 17 (Hearing concluded at 10:0:10 a.m.) 18 CERTIFICATE 19 I, Shirley Ann Hall, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript for the record of proceedings in the above-titled 20 matter. 21 s/Shirley Ann Hall 22. Shirley Ann Hall, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter 23

Proceedings recorded by digital stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

24

25